## **List of References**

- Blackburn, D. (2017). Removing barriers to Justice how a treaty on business and human rights could improve access to remedy for victims. International Centre for Trade Union Rights, Somo.
- Bradley, C. A. (2010). State Action and Corporate Human Rights Liability. *Notre Dame Law Review* 85(5): 1823-1838.
- Cassell, D. (2016). Outlining the Case for a Common Law Duty of Care of Business to Exercise Human Rights Due Diligence. *Journal Articles, Business and Human Rights Journal* 1263: 179-202.
- Fausten, T.&Hammesfahr, R. (2012). Punitive damages in Europe: Concern, threat or non-issue. Swiss Re.
- Meeran, R. (2011). Tort Litigation against Multinational Corporations for Violation of Human Rights: An Overview of the Position outside the United States. *City University of Hong Kong Law Review* 3(1): 1-42.
- Newman, D. (2002). Litigation Update: Wiwa v. Royal Dutch Petroleum Co. *Sustainable Development Law and Policy* 3, 20.
- van Dam, C. (2011). Tort Law and Human Rights: Brothers in Arms on the Role of Tort Law in the Area of Business and Human Rights. *Journal of European Tort Law* 2(3): 221-254.
- Wright, R. G. (2016). Negotiating the Terms of Corporate Human Rights Liability under Federal Law. *San Diego Law Review* 53(3): 579-608.
- Zerk, J. (2016). Corporate liability for gross human rights abuses towards a fairer and more effective system of domestic law remedies. Office of the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights.
- Case 200.126.849-01 200.127.813-01 (2015), Gerechtshof den Haag (in Dutch).
- Caparo Industries Plc v Dickman [1990] 2 AC 605, UKHL 2.
- Chandler v Cape Plc [2012] EWCA Civ 525 (25 April 2012).
- The Bodo Community and Others v Shell Petroleum Development Company of Nigeria Ltd [2014] EWHC 1973 (TCC).
- His Royal Highness Emere Godwin BebeOkpabi and Others v Royal Dutch Shell PLC and ShellPetroleum Development [2017] EWHC 89 (TCC).
- Kiobel v Royal Dutch Petroleum, No. 10-1491, 2013, 569 US Supreme Court.